

Table 1. Oxidation of alcohols to ketones
$$\text{R}^1\text{CH(OH)R}^2 + \text{Ph}_3\text{PX}_2 + \text{DMSO} + \text{Et}_3\text{N} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, -78^\circ\text{C}, 2\text{ h}} \text{R}^1\text{C(O)R}^2$$

S. No.	Substrate	Product	Isolated Yield (%)		S. No.	Substrate	Product	Isolated Yield (%)	
			Ph ₃ PBr ₂	Ph ₃ PCl ₂				Ph ₃ PBr ₂	Ph ₃ PCl ₂
1.			98	94	14.			86	89
2.			79	84	15.			90	86
3.			76	78	16.			85	91
4.			74	82	17.			87	88
5.			85	90	18.			82	90
6.			88	92	19.			89	90
7.			76	80	20.			82	75
8.			64	70	21.	(±)-Menthol	(±)-Menthone	75	88
9.			89	88	22.			89	93
10.			83	70	23.			86	94
11.	Cyclododecanol	Cyclododecanone	75	88	24.			81	78
12.	4- <i>t</i> -butylcyclohexanol	4- <i>t</i> -butylcyclohexanone	73	80	25.			65	68
13.	$(\text{CH}_2)_6\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$	$(\text{CH}_2)_6\text{CHO}$	77	80	26.			63	70

yield dropped to 85, 64, and 13%, respectively. In all these cases, the lower yield was due to incomplete oxidation and unreacted alcohol was recovered. It was observed that there was no reaction at -20 and 0°C and the starting material was recovered in a quantitative manner. It was gratifying to know that even at these temperatures, no Pummerer product was formed. Based on a ^{31}P NMR spectrum of a mixture of DMSO and $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Cl}_2$ in CD_2Cl_2 at -55°C , it is proposed that the reaction does not proceed via the chlorodimethyl sulfide ion as in the classical Swern oxidation (no signal at δ 27 for $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{O}$), instead a signal at δ 46 was seen and was assigned to species **1**.¹⁵ There was no change in the spectrum when the sample was warmed to room temperature. It was also noted that there was not much change in the ^{31}P NMR spectrum on addition of an

alcohol to the reagent. No change in the ^{31}P NMR signal could be seen because of a similar environment to the phosphorus in all the species. It was assumed that the species **1** might be undergoing an irreversible change at the higher temperatures (-20°C to rt), because of which oxidation is not observed at these temperatures. However, when **1** was prepared and treated with an alcohol at -78°C , dimethylalkoxysulfonium salt **2** is assumed to be formed, which on treatment with a base, decomposes to the carbonyl compound, Me_2S , and $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{O}$ (δ 27 in ^{31}P NMR).

In conclusion, we have described a mild method for the oxidation of alcohols using $\text{DMSO}\text{-Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Cl}_2$ and $\text{DMSO}\text{-Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Br}_2$. We also propose a suitable mechanism for the reaction. It was observed that some func-

tional groups, which could react with these reagents, remained unaffected under the reaction conditions.

General procedure for oxidation reactions. DMSO (3 mmol) was added to a solution of PPh_3X_2 (1.5 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (6 mL) was cooled at -78°C . The color of the solution turned yellow when PPh_3Br_2 was used and it was milky white with PPh_3Cl_2 . After one hour, 1 mmol of an alcohol solution in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 min when 3 mmol of Et_3N were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt (2 h). Most of the CH_2Cl_2 was removed in vacuo, and a 1:1 mixture of Et_2O and *n*-hexane was added to the flask in order to precipitate $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{O}$, which was filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated and the crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel to provide pure ketones in high yields (Table 1). All the carbonyl compounds are either commercially available or known in the literature. They were characterized by the usual spectral data and compared with authentic samples.

Acknowledgements

We thank the CSIR (Government of India) for funding our research.

References

1. For a review, see: Haines, A. H. *Methods for the Oxidation of Organic Compounds*; Academic Press: New York, 1988.
2. For reviews on Swern oxidation, see: (a) Mancuso, A. J.; Swern, D. *Synthesis* **1981**, 165; (b) Tidwell, T. T. *Org. React.* **1990**, 39, 297.
3. For a comparative study, see: Omura, K.; Swern, D. *Tetrahedron* **1978**, 34, 1651.
4. (a) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S. L.; Swern, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, 43, 2480; (b) Singaram, B.; Chrisman, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 2053.
5. (a) Omura, K.; Sharma, A. K.; Swern, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, 41, 957; (b) Amon, C. M.; Banwell, M. G.; Gravatt, G. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 4851; (c) Kawada, K.; Gross, R. S.; Watt, D. S. *Synth. Commun.* **1989**, 19, 777.
6. (a) Barton, D. H. R.; Garner, B. J.; Wightman, R. H. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 1855; (b) Takano, S.; Inomata, K.; Tomita, S.; Yanase, M.; Samizu, K.; Ogasawara, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, 29, 6619.
7. Palomo, C.; Cossio, F. P.; Ontoria, J. M.; Odriozola, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, 56, 5948.
8. De Luca, L.; Giacomelli, G.; Porcheddu, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 66, 7907.
9. For other related methods, see: (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, C. U.; Takeda, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1972**, 4339; (b) Taber, D. F.; Amedio, J. C., Jr.; Jung, K.-Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, 52, 5621.
10. (a) Raina, S.; Bhuniya, D.; Singh, V. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, 33, 6021; (b) Raina, S.; Singh, V. K. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, 51, 2467.
11. For the structure of $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Br}_2$, see: Bricklebank, N.; Godfrey, S. M.; Mackie, A. G.; McAuliffe, C. A.; Pritchard, R. G. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1992**, 355.
12. For the structure of $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Cl}_2$, see: Godfrey, S. M.; McAuliffe, C. A.; Pritchard, R. G.; Sheffield, J. M. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 921.
13. Anderson, A. G., Jr.; Freenor, F. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 86, 5037.
14. (a) Hrubiec, R. T.; Smith, M. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, 49, 431; (b) Sandri, J.; Viala, J. *Synth. Commun.* **1992**, 22, 2945.
15. The presence of $\text{Ph}_3\text{P}\cdot\text{Cl}_2$ was ruled out as there was no peak at δ 65 in the ^{31}P NMR.